

Attorney Docket No. 103864-700R11

PATENT**REMARKS**

In the Office Action, the Examiner noted that claims 1-4, 6, 8-14, 16, 18-31, 33-44, 46-59, 61-76, 78-90, 114, 115, 118-123, 148, 149, 153-162, 164 and 165 are pending in the application, and that ALL claims 1-4, 6, 8-14, 16, 18-31, 33-44, 46-59, 61-76, 78-90, 114, 115, 118-123, 148, 149, 153-162, 164 and 165 are allowed. Accordingly, no claims have been amended.

**Response to Specific Points in Office Action Dated May 12, 2005**

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-14, 16, 18-31, 33-44, 46-59, 61-76, 78-90, 114, 115, 118-123, 148, 149, 153-162, 164 and 165 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being based on a defective reissue declaration.

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that all pending claims are allowed, subject to the filing of a Supplemental Reissue Declaration. Applicant herby encloses the requested Supplemental Declaration. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Specifically, MPEP 1414 states the following "In identifying the error, it is sufficient that the reissue oath/declaration identify a single word, phrase or expression in the specification or in an original claim, and how it renders the original patent wholly or partly inoperative or invalid."

In the present application, the Supplemental Reissue Declaration includes numerous changes to claim wording for the original claims, as well as writing the claim combinations of various new claims. In addition, the Reissue Declaration includes the statement that "all errors" arose without deceptive intent. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Applicant notes that due to formatting differences of printers for some of the inventors, the specific signature locations have been slightly altered.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**